

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,558	12/03/2001	Rodney Kern	29020/97035B	3273
4743 75	590 10/15/2003		EXAM	INER
	, GERSTEIN & BOR	REDMAN, JERRY E		
	6300 SEARS TOWER			PAPER NUMBER
233 S. WACKER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	CHICAGO, IL 60606			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	10/006,558	KERN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Jerry Redman	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 J	luly 2003 and 25 September 200	3.			
	is action is non-final.	_			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,16,17,19,20,29 and 30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8,16 and 19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,17,20,29 and 30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/006,558

Art Unit: 3634

The applicant's information and disclosure statement (paper #12) has been considered and a copy has been placed in the file.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims, 1-7, 9, 17, 20, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark in view of Leuchten et al. Clark discloses two panels (C and D), an actuating system (chains, pulleys, motor, inclined guide track), which moves the panels (C and D) between an open and closed sealed position. Clark fails to disclose the panels to be formed of resilient foam having a covering. Leuchten et al. disclose an impact-absorbing panel formed of foam and having a flexible outer covering. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the panels of Clark to be impact absorbing as taught by Leuchten et al. since this allows the panels to be resilient upon an impact without damaging the panel itself.

The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

The applicant's terminal disclaimer has overcome the double patenting rejection.

The applicant argues the combination of Clark and Suter, which is not readily understood by the Examiner since the new rejection is based on Clark in view of Leuchten et al. Furthermore, the applicant argues that Leutchen et al. teaches the reinforcement/strengthening of a panel and not the flexible resistance thereof. The

Application/Control Number: 10/006,558

Art Unit: 3634

Examiner disagrees. Leuchten et al. clearly teach a panel formed of plastic (foam is a form of plastic) having a flexible outer covering. Leuchten et al. is trying to provide a stronger panel yet still provides a desired flexibility upon an impact (the abstract states "the reinforcing sheet provides excellent flexural reinforcement and impact absorbing properties"). Still furthermore, even if Leuchten et al. teach the idea of reducing the amount of deflection (as stated in the applicant's arguments) Leuchten et al still teaches the fact that the panel is flexible and designed to absorb higher impacts via strengthening the panel itself.

Page 3

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 703-308-2120.

Jerry Redman
Primary Examiner